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CEEDAR Intensive TA States

2013 COHORT
- California
- Connecticut
- Florida
- Illinois
- South Dakota

2014 COHORT
- Georgia
- Montana
- New Hampshire
- Ohio
- Utah

2015 COHORT
- Arizona
- Michigan
- Missouri
- Oregon
- Tennessee

2016 COHORT
- Colorado
- Kentucky
- Mississippi
- Nevada
- Rhode Island
TN-CEEDAR
TN-CEEDAR Goals

Align statewide educational efforts to improve outcomes for students who are at-risk and students with disabilities

✧ Ensure effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders
✧ Ensure effective processes for the collection and dissemination of high quality data for improving educator preparation
✧ Ensure educator preparation program completers have the knowledge and skills to provide effective instruction and intervention for all students
✧ Review the professional education standards related to the special education and RTI² frameworks for potential recommendations to the educator preparation policy
Tennessee Priorities

Early Foundations & Literacy
Building skills in early grades to contribute to future success

High School & Bridge to Postsecondary
Preparing significantly more students for postsecondary completion

All Means All
Providing individualized support and opportunities for all students with a focus on those who are furthest behind

Educator Support
Supporting the preparation and development of an exceptional educator workforce

District Empowerment
Providing districts with the tools and autonomy they need to make the best decisions for students
Tennessee: RTI² Guiding Principles

1. Leadership

2. Culture of collaboration

3. Prevention & early intervention

**Response to Instruction and Intervention**

**TIER I** All

All students receive research-based, high quality, general education instruction. In general, 80-85 percent of students will receive only Tier I instruction.

**TIER II** Some

In addition to Tier I, extra help is provided to students who fall below the 25th percentile in basic math and reading skills. In general, 10-15 percent of students will receive Tier II interventions.

**TIER III** Few

In addition to Tier I, extra help is provided to students who have not made significant progress in Tier II, are 1½ – 2 grade levels behind, or are below the 10th percentile in basic math and reading skills. Tier III interventions are more explicit and more intensive than Tier II interventions. In general, only 3-5 percent of students will receive Tier III interventions.
TN Special Education Framework

- Evaluations & Eligibility
- Instructional IEPs
- Intensive interventions
- Progress monitoring
- Transition planning
- Accommodations
- Behavior
Alignment
CEEDAR TA Tool: Promises to Keep

- Review the professional education standards related to the special education and RTI² frameworks for potential recommendations to the educator preparation policy.
## Network for Transforming Educator Preparation – State Readiness Tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Questions to Consider</th>
<th>Weak (1)</th>
<th>Strong (4)</th>
<th>Evidence to look for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Licensure</td>
<td>Do our licensure assessments measure the likelihood that a teacher or leader will be effective across various student populations (e.g. ELLs, low-income, students with disabilities)?&lt;br&gt;Do they use a combination of measures, including performance based measures, to assess an educators’ ability to perform effectively within a tiered system</td>
<td>Licensure assessments measure inputs (e.g., courses taken and grades assigned) rather than performance&lt;br&gt;Assessments are limited in form and interactivity (e.g., just a paper and pencil test)&lt;br&gt;Assessments do not provide evidence on educators’ ability to support students with diverse needs within a tiered system of support</td>
<td>Licensure assessments use a variety of measures of core teaching and leadership competencies, including:&lt;br&gt;− Content knowledge&lt;br&gt;− Content-specific pedagogical knowledge&lt;br&gt;− Knowledge of learners and learning&lt;br&gt;− General pedagogical strategies&lt;br&gt;− Ability to support</td>
<td>Policy governing licensure assessments&lt;br&gt;Documentation of licensure assessments: the forms they take, the measures they use, the performance tasks (if any) that they include, e.g.:&lt;br&gt;− Real-time observation of instructional practice&lt;br&gt;− Evidence from authentic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All preparation programs must include training to support candidates’ readiness to deliver instruction informed by Response to Instruction and Intervention Framework (RTI²). Candidates not seeking endorsement in Special Education should be prepared to deliver instruction and intervention at the Tier I and II levels.
## Identifying Levels of Competence

**Special Education Framework**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educator Candidates:</th>
<th>Pre-K/Early Literacy</th>
<th>Elementary</th>
<th>Middle and/or High School</th>
<th>Specialized Literacy Professionals</th>
<th>Special Education</th>
<th>Administrators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluations and Eligibility</strong></td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Child Find Responsibilities</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Prevention and Early Intervention</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Assessment Framework</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Timeline and Extension Requests</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Re-Evaluations</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Transfer Students</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 Unilaterally Placed Children</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8 Referral and Evaluation upon Parental Request</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9 Assessment Specialists</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10 Disability Standards</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Understanding</td>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

✧ Too broad vs. too specific for policy
✧ Understanding roles within departments
✧ Continuing the discussion
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