

Collaboration for Effective Educator Development, Accountability and Reform





Disclaimer

This content was produced under U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs, Award No. H325A120003. Bonnie Jones and David Guardino serve as the project officers. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the positions or polices of the U.S. Department of Education. No official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education of any product, commodity, service, or enterprise mentioned in this website is intended or should be inferred.





Today

- ♦ Discuss the need for more collaborative teacher education
- ♦ Describe case studies of collaborative deans
- Discuss implications for deans interested in collaborative teacher education





Expectations of teachers

♦Increased diversity + academically rigorous education = expectations for more inclusive instruction.

AND

higher expectations for general and special education teachers.





Expectations of teachers (cont.)

- ♦Knowledge and skill to:
 - use research-based practice to support content learning
 - coordinate instructional efforts across core and tiers of increasingly intensive instruction





More powerful preparation

♦ Federal and state policy makers see preparation as path to helping all students achieve more rigorous standards





Learner Ready

Our Responsibility, Our Promise

CCSSO Task Force Report on Transforming Educator Preparation and Entry into the Profession





Promises to Keep: Transforming Educator Preparation to Better Serve a Diverse Range of Learners

Using State Policy Levers to Spur Action and Innovation June 23, 2015







Mission of CEEDAR

Help states and their IHEs design comprehensive reform efforts that cut across general and special education to prepare all teachers to implement evidence-based practice





Reform Efforts

Require greater collaboration among general and special education faculty about effective teaching and learning





Collaboration

- And professional cohesion is difficult
 - within organizations where there are loosely coupled authority structures (Mandell, 2001)





Collaboration(cont.)

♦Occurs when:

- Leaders and stakeholders see a compelling need to change
- Organizational leaders must be able to establish a vision and broker support from key stakeholders
- Key stakeholders must communicate about the vision and influence others





Purpose

♦To understand the strategies and actions College of Education deans took to promote the implementation of a collaborative teacher education reform.





Methods

- Purposeful, postively deviant case sampling strategy
- \$\diamole 6\$ deans who had overseen the development of collaborative teacher education programs
- ♦ Represent variety of institutions





Methods(cont.)

- Hour-long semi-structured interviews; 2 researchers present
- ♦Interviews asked questions about:
 - Reasons for engaging in this work
 - Nature of collaboration among programs
 - Challenges encountered
 - Supports employed
 - Strategies for sustaining
 - Advice for other leaders





Data analysis

- ♦ Qualitative case study (Yin, 2014)
- Analyzed data according to Charmaz grounded theory methodology
 - Initial coding
 - focused coding
 - thematic coding





Example Codes

Initial Codes	Focused Codes	Theoretical
Establishing relationships and trust	Changing the culture	Creating a system wide support for the reform
Redefining programs based on new visions and current goals		
Spaces that promote collaboration		
Interdisciplinary small groups	Breaking down silos	
Joint appointments		



Results





Themes

- Models for collaborative teacher preparation programs
- ♦ Dean's role in the reform
- ♦ Building a broader platform for the reform
- ♦ Challenges encountered





Themes(cont.)

- Creating a system wide support for the reform
- Engendering participation for the reform
- Perceptions of the reform's success or its impact





Individual Cases

MITCH

- ♦ Large, public research extensive
- ♦ Department chair of special education, 10 years
- ♦Promoted to dean internally





MITCH

- ♦ Dean's role in reform
 - Developed a critical mass of faculty
 - Started with a broader focus for the reform that appealed to many constituents





MITCH (part 2)

- Models for collaborative teacher education programs
 - Restructures to college wide teacher education program
 - Creates Urban Institute for Teacher Education





MITCH (part 3)

- ♦ Building a broader platform for the reform
 - Curriculum and instruction under attack, in receivership
 - Uses threat as an opportunity
 - College wide effort engages faculty from all areas, and even outside education





MITCH (part 4)

- Creating a system wide support for the reform through distributed leadership
 - Focused on addressing diversity broadly, not special education
 - Multi-disciplinary faculty leadership team
 - Worked collaboratively with provost, arts and sciences faculty, district superintendents



MITCH (part 5)

- ♦ Challenges encountered
 - Number of C & I faculty were against the reform and were quite vocal
 - 8 to 10 faculty left because of the vision

Yet, these issues did not take Mitch off track.





MITCH (part 6)

- Creating system wide support for the reform
 - Hired and pulled together a critical mass of faculty who supported the reform
 - Worked carefully to build relationships
 - Used resources
 - Selected a well-respected faculty
 member to lead the Urban Institute



